

Some Pseudocompact-Like Properties in Certain Topological groups

Artur Hideyuki Tomita, Juliane Trianon-Fraga

Instituto de Matemática e Estatística, Universidade de São Paulo

Toposym 2022

The first listed author has received financial support from *São Paulo Research Foundation* (FAPESP), grant 2021/00177-4.

The second listed author has received financial support from *São Paulo Research Foundation* (FAPESP), grant 2019/12628-0.

In this talk we will motivate and present the following results:

- 1) There exists a countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences **of size $2^{\mathfrak{c}}$** .
- 2) There exists a selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact.
- 3) Assuming the existence of a single selective ultrafilter, there exists a group which has all powers selectively pseudocompact but is not countably pracomact.

This is joint work with Tomita.

In this talk we will motivate and present the following results:

- 1) There exists a countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences **of size 2^c** .
- 2) There exists a selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact.
- 3) Assuming the existence of a single selective ultrafilter, there exists a group which has all powers selectively pseudocompact but is not countably pracomact.

This is joint work with Tomita.

We shall begin with some notations and definitions.

- Throughout this presentation, every topological space will be Tychonoff (Hausdorff and completely regular) and every topological group will be Hausdorff (thus, also Tychonoff).
- We denote the set of non-principal (free) ultrafilters on ω by ω^* .

Recall that an infinite topological space X is said to be

- 1) *pseudocompact* if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded;
- 2) *countably compact* if every infinite subset of X has an accumulation point in X ;
- 3) *countably precompact* if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every infinite subset of D has an accumulation point in X .

We shall begin with some notations and definitions.

- Throughout this presentation, every topological space will be Tychonoff (Hausdorff and completely regular) and every topological group will be Hausdorff (thus, also Tychonoff).
- We denote the set of non-principal (free) ultrafilters on ω by ω^* .

Recall that an infinite topological space X is said to be

- 1) *pseudocompact* if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded;
- 2) *countably compact* if every infinite subset of X has an accumulation point in X ;
- 3) *countably precompact* if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every infinite subset of D has an accumulation point in X .

We shall begin with some notations and definitions.

- Throughout this presentation, every topological space will be Tychonoff (Hausdorff and completely regular) and every topological group will be Hausdorff (thus, also Tychonoff).
- We denote the set of non-principal (free) ultrafilters on ω by ω^* .

Recall that an infinite topological space X is said to be

- 1) *pseudocompact* if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded;
- 2) *countably compact* if every infinite subset of X has an accumulation point in X ;
- 3) *countably precompact* if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every infinite subset of D has an accumulation point in X .

We shall begin with some notations and definitions.

- Throughout this presentation, every topological space will be Tychonoff (Hausdorff and completely regular) and every topological group will be Hausdorff (thus, also Tychonoff).
- We denote the set of non-principal (free) ultrafilters on ω by ω^* .

Recall that an infinite topological space X is said to be

- 1) *pseudocompact* if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded;
- 2) *countably compact* if every infinite subset of X has an accumulation point in X ;
- 3) *countably precompact* if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every infinite subset of D has an accumulation point in X .

We shall begin with some notations and definitions.

- Throughout this presentation, every topological space will be Tychonoff (Hausdorff and completely regular) and every topological group will be Hausdorff (thus, also Tychonoff).
- We denote the set of non-principal (free) ultrafilters on ω by ω^* .

Recall that an infinite topological space X is said to be

- 1) *pseudocompact* if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded;
- 2) *countably compact* if every infinite subset of X has an accumulation point in X ;
- 3) *countably precompact* if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every infinite subset of D has an accumulation point in X .

We shall begin with some notations and definitions.

- Throughout this presentation, every topological space will be Tychonoff (Hausdorff and completely regular) and every topological group will be Hausdorff (thus, also Tychonoff).
- We denote the set of non-principal (free) ultrafilters on ω by ω^* .

Recall that an infinite topological space X is said to be

- 1) *pseudocompact* if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded;
- 2) *countably compact* if every infinite subset of X has an accumulation point in X ;
- 3) *countably precompact* if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every infinite subset of D has an accumulation point in X .

We shall begin with some notations and definitions.

- Throughout this presentation, every topological space will be Tychonoff (Hausdorff and completely regular) and every topological group will be Hausdorff (thus, also Tychonoff).
- We denote the set of non-principal (free) ultrafilters on ω by ω^* .

Recall that an infinite topological space X is said to be

- 1) *pseudocompact* if each continuous real-valued function on X is bounded;
- 2) *countably compact* if every infinite subset of X has an accumulation point in X ;
- 3) *countably precompact* if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every infinite subset of D has an accumulation point in X .

DEFINITION ([Bernstein, 1970])

Given $p \in \omega^*$, $x \in X$ and a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$, we say that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$ if, for every neighborhood U of x , we have that $\{n \in \omega : x_n \in U\} \in p$.

An element $x \in X$ is an accumulation point of a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ if and only if there exists $p \in \omega^*$ such that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$.

Thus:

- X is countably compact if and only if every sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ has a p -limit, for some $p \in \omega^*$.

DEFINITION ([Bernstein, 1970])

Given $p \in \omega^*$, $x \in X$ and a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$, we say that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$ if, for every neighborhood U of x , we have that $\{n \in \omega : x_n \in U\} \in p$.

An element $x \in X$ is an accumulation point of a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ if and only if there exists $p \in \omega^*$ such that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$.

Thus:

- X is countably compact if and only if every sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ has a p -limit, for some $p \in \omega^*$.

DEFINITION ([Bernstein, 1970])

Given $p \in \omega^*$, $x \in X$ and a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$, we say that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$ if, for every neighborhood U of x , we have that $\{n \in \omega : x_n \in U\} \in p$.

An element $x \in X$ is an accumulation point of a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ if and only if there exists $p \in \omega^*$ such that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$.

Thus:

- X is countably compact if and only if every sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ has a p -limit, for some $p \in \omega^*$.

- X is countably precompact if and only if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset D$ has a p -limit in X , for some $p \in \omega^*$.
- X is pseudocompact if and only if for every countable family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open sets of X , there exists $x \in X$ and $p \in \omega^*$ such that, for each neighborhood V of x , $\{n \in \omega : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.

Finally, we recall the definition of selective ultrafilters.

DEFINITION

A selective ultrafilter on ω is a free ultrafilter p on ω such that for every partition $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of ω , either there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A_n \in p$ or there exists $B \in p$ such that $|B \cap A_n| = 1$ for every $n \in \omega$.

The existence of selective ultrafilters is independent of ZFC.

- X is countably pracomact if and only if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset D$ has a p -limit in X , for some $p \in \omega^*$.
- X is pseudocompact if and only if for every countable family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open sets of X , there exists $x \in X$ and $p \in \omega^*$ such that, for each neighborhood V of x , $\{n \in \omega : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.

Finally, we recall the definition of selective ultrafilters.

DEFINITION

A selective ultrafilter on ω is a free ultrafilter p on ω such that for every partition $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of ω , either there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A_n \in p$ or there exists $B \in p$ such that $|B \cap A_n| = 1$ for every $n \in \omega$.

The existence of selective ultrafilters is independent of ZFC.

- X is countably pracomact if and only if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset D$ has a p -limit in X , for some $p \in \omega^*$.
- X is pseudocompact if and only if for every countable family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open sets of X , there exists $x \in X$ and $p \in \omega^*$ such that, for each neighborhood V of x , $\{n \in \omega : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.

Finally, we recall the definition of selective ultrafilters.

DEFINITION

A selective ultrafilter on ω is a free ultrafilter p on ω such that for every partition $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of ω , either there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A_n \in p$ or there exists $B \in p$ such that $|B \cap A_n| = 1$ for every $n \in \omega$.

The existence of selective ultrafilters is independent of ZFC.

- X is countably pracomact if and only if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset D$ has a p -limit in X , for some $p \in \omega^*$.
- X is pseudocompact if and only if for every countable family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open sets of X , there exists $x \in X$ and $p \in \omega^*$ such that, for each neighborhood V of x , $\{n \in \omega : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.

Finally, we recall the definition of selective ultrafilters.

DEFINITION

A selective ultrafilter on ω is a free ultrafilter p on ω such that for every partition $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of ω , either there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A_n \in p$ or there exists $B \in p$ such that $|B \cap A_n| = 1$ for every $n \in \omega$.

The existence of selective ultrafilters is independent of ZFC.

- X is countably pracomact if and only if there exists a dense subset D in X such that every sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset D$ has a p -limit in X , for some $p \in \omega^*$.
- X is pseudocompact if and only if for every countable family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open sets of X , there exists $x \in X$ and $p \in \omega^*$ such that, for each neighborhood V of x , $\{n \in \omega : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.

Finally, we recall the definition of selective ultrafilters.

DEFINITION

A selective ultrafilter on ω is a free ultrafilter p on ω such that for every partition $\{A_n : n \in \omega\}$ of ω , either there exists $n \in \omega$ such that $A_n \in p$ or there exists $B \in p$ such that $|B \cap A_n| = 1$ for every $n \in \omega$.

The existence of selective ultrafilters is independent of ZFC.

Pseudocompactness is not preserved under products for arbitrary topological spaces [Terasaka, 1952]. Nevertheless, Comfort and Ross showed that:

THEOREM ([Comfort and Ross, 1966])

The product of any family of pseudocompact topological groups is pseudocompact.

This result led Comfort to ask whether there are countably compact topological groups whose product is not countably compact.

Pseudocompactness is not preserved under products for arbitrary topological spaces [Terasaka, 1952]. Nevertheless, Comfort and Ross showed that:

THEOREM ([Comfort and Ross, 1966])

The product of any family of pseudocompact topological groups is pseudocompact.

This result led Comfort to ask whether there are countably compact topological groups whose product is not countably compact.

Pseudocompactness is not preserved under products for arbitrary topological spaces [Terasaka, 1952]. Nevertheless, Comfort and Ross showed that:

THEOREM ([Comfort and Ross, 1966])

The product of any family of pseudocompact topological groups is pseudocompact.

This result led Comfort to ask whether there are countably compact topological groups whose product is not countably compact.

The first consistent positive answer was given by van Douwen, under MA. More specifically, van Douwen proved the two following lemmas.

LEMMA ([van Douwen, 1980])

(ZFC) Every infinite Boolean countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences contains two countably compact subgroups whose product is not countably compact.

LEMMA ([van Douwen, 1980])

(MA) There exists an infinite Boolean countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences.

The first consistent positive answer was given by van Douwen, under MA. More specifically, van Douwen proved the two following lemmas.

LEMMA ([van Douwen, 1980])

(ZFC) Every infinite Boolean countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences contains two countably compact subgroups whose product is not countably compact.

LEMMA ([van Douwen, 1980])

(MA) There exists an infinite Boolean countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences.

The first consistent positive answer was given by van Douwen, under MA. More specifically, van Douwen proved the two following lemmas.

LEMMA ([van Douwen, 1980])

(ZFC) Every infinite Boolean countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences contains two countably compact subgroups whose product is not countably compact.

LEMMA ([van Douwen, 1980])

(MA) There exists an infinite Boolean countably compact group without non-trivial convergent sequences.

Using tools outside ZFC, many other examples of countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences were given over the years:

- In [Hajnal and Juhász, 1976], from CH.
- In [Koszmider et al., 2000], from Martin's Axiom for countable posets.
- In [Garcia-Ferreira et al., 2005], from a single selective ultrafilter, improving the technique.

Moreover, the existence of such groups does not imply the existence of selective ultrafilters [Szeptycki and Tomita, 2009].

Using tools outside ZFC, many other examples of countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences were given over the years:

- In [Hajnal and Juhász, 1976], from CH.
- In [Koszmider et al., 2000], from Martin's Axiom for countable posets.
- In [Garcia-Ferreira et al., 2005], from a single selective ultrafilter, improving the technique.

Moreover, the existence of such groups does not imply the existence of selective ultrafilters [Szeptycki and Tomita, 2009].

Using tools outside ZFC, many other examples of countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences were given over the years:

- In [Hajnal and Juhász, 1976], from CH.
- In [Koszmider et al., 2000], from Martin's Axiom for countable posets.
- In [Garcia-Ferreira et al., 2005], from a single selective ultrafilter, improving the technique.

Moreover, the existence of such groups does not imply the existence of selective ultrafilters [Szeptycki and Tomita, 2009].

Using tools outside ZFC, many other examples of countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences were given over the years:

- In [Hajnal and Juhász, 1976], from CH.
- In [Koszmider et al., 2000], from Martin's Axiom for countable posets.
- In [Garcia-Ferreira et al., 2005], from a single selective ultrafilter, improving the technique.

Moreover, the existence of such groups does not imply the existence of selective ultrafilters [Szeptycki and Tomita, 2009].

Using tools outside ZFC, many other examples of countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences were given over the years:

- In [Hajnal and Juhász, 1976], from CH.
- In [Koszmider et al., 2000], from Martin's Axiom for countable posets.
- In [Garcia-Ferreira et al., 2005], from a single selective ultrafilter, improving the technique.

Moreover, the existence of such groups does not imply the existence of selective ultrafilters [Szeptycki and Tomita, 2009].

Finally, in 2021, Hrušák, van Mill, Ramos-García, and Shelah proved that:

THEOREM ([Hrušák et al., 2021])

In ZFC, there exists a Hausdorff countably compact topological Boolean group (of size \mathfrak{c}) without non-trivial convergent sequences.

In particular, as stated above, this shows that there exists a countably compact group whose square is not countably compact.

Finally, in 2021, Hrušák, van Mill, Ramos-García, and Shelah proved that:

THEOREM ([Hrušák et al., 2021])

In ZFC, there exists a Hausdorff countably compact topological Boolean group (of size \mathfrak{c}) without non-trivial convergent sequences.

In particular, as stated above, this shows that there exists a countably compact group whose square is not countably compact.

Finally, in 2021, Hrušák, van Mill, Ramos-García, and Shelah proved that:

THEOREM ([Hrušák et al., 2021])

In ZFC, there exists a Hausdorff countably compact topological Boolean group (of size \mathfrak{c}) without non-trivial convergent sequences.

In particular, as stated above, this shows that there exists a countably compact group whose square is not countably compact.

A fundamental new idea that appears in [Hrušák et al., 2021] when proving the previous Theorem is the use of a clever filter to generate a suitable family of ultrafilters $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \omega^*$, given by the next result.

PROPOSITION ([Hrušák et al., 2021])

There is a family $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \omega^$ such that, for every $D \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\omega$ and $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ such that each f_α is an one-to-one enumeration of linearly independent elements of $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$, there is a sequence $\langle U_\alpha : \alpha \in D \rangle$ that satisfies*

- (i) $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint subsets of ω ;*
- (ii) $U_\alpha \in p_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in D$;*
- (iii) $\{f_\alpha(n) : \alpha \in D \text{ and } n \in U_\alpha\}$ is a linearly independent subset of $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$.*

A fundamental new idea that appears in [Hrušák et al., 2021] when proving the previous Theorem is the use of a clever filter to generate a suitable family of ultrafilters $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \omega^*$, given by the next result.

PROPOSITION ([Hrušák et al., 2021])

There is a family $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < \mathfrak{c}\} \subset \omega^$ such that, for every $D \in [\mathfrak{c}]^\omega$ and $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ such that each f_α is an one-to-one enumeration of linearly independent elements of $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$, there is a sequence $\langle U_\alpha : \alpha \in D \rangle$ that satisfies*

- (i) $\{U_\alpha : \alpha \in D\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint subsets of ω ;*
- (ii) $U_\alpha \in p_\alpha$ for every $\alpha \in D$;*
- (iii) $\{f_\alpha(n) : \alpha \in D \text{ and } n \in U_\alpha\}$ is a linearly independent subset of $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$.*

Using fundamentally the same idea, with a slight modification, we constructed a similar suitable family of ultrafilters

$$\{p_\alpha : \alpha < 2^c\} \subset \omega^*.$$

This permits, in the same way that was done in [Hrušák et al., 2021], the construction of a group of size 2^c satisfying the Theorem, answering a question of Bellini, Rodrigues and Tomita [Bellini et al., 2021].

Using fundamentally the same idea, with a slight modification, we constructed a similar suitable family of ultrafilters

$$\{p_\alpha : \alpha < 2^c\} \subset \omega^*.$$

This permits, in the same way that was done in [Hrušák et al., 2021], the construction of a group of size 2^c satisfying the Theorem, answering a question of Bellini, Rodrigues and Tomita [Bellini et al., 2021].

Both this construction and the next ones we will present follow the sketch:

- 1) The Boolean group is $[c]^{<\omega}$ or $[2^c]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.
- 2) We choose a suitable family $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of homomorphisms $\phi : [c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$ (or $[2^c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$) to generate the topology.
- 3) Using lemmas of homomorphisms existence and characteristics of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, we verify the properties we want.

It is often difficult to find an appropriate set $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Also, in order to prove the lemmas mentioned in 3), we sometimes need additional tools, such as selective ultrafilters.

Both this construction and the next ones we will present follow the sketch:

- 1) The Boolean group is $[c]^{<\omega}$ or $[2^c]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.
- 2) We choose a suitable family $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of homomorphisms $\phi : [c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$ (or $[2^c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$) to generate the topology.
- 3) Using lemmas of homomorphisms existence and characteristics of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, we verify the properties we want.

It is often difficult to find an appropriate set $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Also, in order to prove the lemmas mentioned in 3), we sometimes need additional tools, such as selective ultrafilters.

Both this construction and the next ones we will present follow the sketch:

- 1) The Boolean group is $[c]^{<\omega}$ or $[2^c]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.
- 2) We choose a suitable family $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of homomorphisms $\phi : [c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$ (or $[2^c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$) to generate the topology.
- 3) Using lemmas of homomorphisms existence and characteristics of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, we verify the properties we want.

It is often difficult to find an appropriate set $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Also, in order to prove the lemmas mentioned in 3), we sometimes need additional tools, such as selective ultrafilters.

Both this construction and the next ones we will present follow the sketch:

- 1) The Boolean group is $[c]^{<\omega}$ or $[2^c]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.
- 2) We choose a suitable family $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of homomorphisms $\phi : [c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$ (or $[2^c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$) to generate the topology.
- 3) Using lemmas of homomorphisms existence and characteristics of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, we verify the properties we want.

It is often difficult to find an appropriate set $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Also, in order to prove the lemmas mentioned in 3), we sometimes need additional tools, such as selective ultrafilters.

Both this construction and the next ones we will present follow the sketch:

- 1) The Boolean group is $[c]^{<\omega}$ or $[2^c]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.
- 2) We choose a suitable family $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ of homomorphisms $\phi : [c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$ (or $[2^c]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$) to generate the topology.
- 3) Using lemmas of homomorphisms existence and characteristics of $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, we verify the properties we want.

It is often difficult to find an appropriate set $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$. Also, in order to prove the lemmas mentioned in 3), we sometimes need additional tools, such as selective ultrafilters.

The following lemma is used in **Step 3** of the previous and also the next construction we will present.

We fix the family $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < 2^c\} \subset \omega^*$ constructed.

LEMMA

Let $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$, with $I \subset 2^c$, be a family of one-to-one enumerations of linearly independent elements of $[2^c]^{<\omega}$, and $D \in [2^c]^\omega$ be such that, for every $\alpha \in D \cap I$, $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} f_\alpha(n) \subset D$. Consider also $D_0 \in [D]^{<\omega}$ and $F : D_0 \rightarrow 2$ a function. Then there exists a homomorphism $\phi : [D]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$ so that, for every $\alpha \in D \cap I$,

$$\phi(\{\alpha\}) = p_\alpha - \lim_{n \in \omega} \phi(f_\alpha(n)),$$

and, for each $d \in D_0$, $\phi(\{d\}) = F(d)$.

The following lemma is used in **Step 3** of the previous and also the next construction we will present.

We fix the family $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < 2^c\} \subset \omega^*$ constructed.

LEMMA

Let $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$, with $I \subset 2^c$, be a family of one-to-one enumerations of linearly independent elements of $[2^c]^{<\omega}$, and $D \in [2^c]^\omega$ be such that, for every $\alpha \in D \cap I$, $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} f_\alpha(n) \subset D$. Consider also $D_0 \in [D]^{<\omega}$ and $F : D_0 \rightarrow 2$ a function. Then there exists a homomorphism $\phi : [D]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$ so that, for every $\alpha \in D \cap I$,

$$\phi(\{\alpha\}) = p_\alpha - \lim_{n \in \omega} \phi(f_\alpha(n)),$$

and, for each $d \in D_0$, $\phi(\{d\}) = F(d)$.

The following lemma is used in **Step 3** of the previous and also the next construction we will present.

We fix the family $\{p_\alpha : \alpha < 2^c\} \subset \omega^*$ constructed.

LEMMA

Let $\{f_\alpha : \alpha \in I\}$, with $I \subset 2^c$, be a family of one-to-one enumerations of linearly independent elements of $[2^c]^{<\omega}$, and $D \in [2^c]^\omega$ be such that, for every $\alpha \in D \cap I$, $\bigcup_{n \in \omega} f_\alpha(n) \subset D$. Consider also $D_0 \in [D]^{<\omega}$ and $F : D_0 \rightarrow 2$ a function. Then there exists a homomorphism $\phi : [D]^{<\omega} \rightarrow 2$ so that, for every $\alpha \in D \cap I$,

$$\phi(\{\alpha\}) = p_\alpha - \lim_{n \in \omega} \phi(f_\alpha(n)),$$

and, for each $d \in D_0$, $\phi(\{d\}) = F(d)$.

It is important to point out that the homomorphism ϕ given by the previous lemma may be defined satisfying some additional properties, as we can see by its proof. For instance, given $\alpha \in D \cap I$, we can choose ϕ satisfying also that

$$\forall i \in 2, |\{n \in \omega : \phi(f_\alpha(n)) = i\}| = \omega.$$

We have to use this additional property to show that the group does not have non-trivial convergent sequences in the first construction.

It is important to point out that the homomorphism ϕ given by the previous lemma may be defined satisfying some additional properties, as we can see by its proof. For instance, given $\alpha \in D \cap I$, we can choose ϕ satisfying also that

$$\forall i \in 2, |\{n \in \omega : \phi(f_\alpha(n)) = i\}| = \omega.$$

We have to use this additional property to show that the group does not have non-trivial convergent sequences in the first construction.

There are many concepts related to compactness and pseudocompactness that have emerged in the last years. We highlight here the following ones.

DEFINITION ([Ginsburg and Saks, 1975])

Let X be a topological space.

- Ⓘ For $p \in \omega^*$, X is called *p -pseudocompact* if for every countable family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open sets of X , there exists $x \in X$ such that, for each neighborhood V of x , $\{n \in \omega : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.
- ⓱ X is called *ultrapseudocompact* if X is p -pseudocompact for every $p \in \omega^*$.

There are many concepts related to compactness and pseudocompactness that have emerged in the last years. We highlight here the following ones.

DEFINITION ([Ginsburg and Saks, 1975])

Let X be a topological space.

- ① For $p \in \omega^*$, X is called *p -pseudocompact* if for every countable family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open sets of X , there exists $x \in X$ such that, for each neighborhood V of x , $\{n \in \omega : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.
- ② X is called *ultrapseudocompact* if X is p -pseudocompact for every $p \in \omega^*$.

There are many concepts related to compactness and pseudocompactness that have emerged in the last years. We highlight here the following ones.

DEFINITION ([Ginsburg and Saks, 1975])

Let X be a topological space.

- Ⓘ For $p \in \omega^*$, X is called *p -pseudocompact* if for every countable family $\{U_n : n \in \omega\}$ of nonempty open sets of X , there exists $x \in X$ such that, for each neighborhood V of x , $\{n \in \omega : V \cap U_n \neq \emptyset\} \in p$.
- Ⓜ X is called *ultrapseudocompact* if X is p -pseudocompact for every $p \in \omega^*$.

DEFINITION ([Garcia-Ferreira and Ortiz-Castillo, 2014])

A topological space X is called *selectively pseudocompact* if for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of nonempty open subsets of X there is a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$, $x \in X$ and $p \in \omega^*$ such that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$ and, for each $n \in \omega$, $x_n \in U_n$.

This concept was originally defined under the name *strong pseudocompactness*, but later the name was changed, since there were already two different properties named in the previous way.

DEFINITION ([Garcia-Ferreira and Ortiz-Castillo, 2014])

A topological space X is called *selectively pseudocompact* if for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of nonempty open subsets of X there is a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$, $x \in X$ and $p \in \omega^*$ such that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$ and, for each $n \in \omega$, $x_n \in U_n$.

This concept was originally defined under the name *strong pseudocompactness*, but later the name was changed, since there were already two different properties named in the previous way.

DEFINITION ([Angoa et al., 2014])

Let X be a topological space. For $p \in \omega^*$, X is called *selectively p -pseudocompact* if, for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of nonempty open subsets of X , there is a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ and $x \in X$ such that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$ and, for each $n \in \omega$, $x_n \in U_n$.

It follows straight from the definitions that, for each $p \in \omega^*$:

selective p -pseudocompactness $\Rightarrow p$ -pseudocompactness \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow pseudocompactness,

and also

countable compactness \Rightarrow countable pracomactness \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow selective pseudocompactness \Rightarrow pseudocompactness.

DEFINITION ([Angoa et al., 2014])

Let X be a topological space. For $p \in \omega^*$, X is called *selectively p -pseudocompact* if, for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of nonempty open subsets of X , there is a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ and $x \in X$ such that $x = p - \lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$ and, for each $n \in \omega$, $x_n \in U_n$.

It follows straight from the definitions that, for each $p \in \omega^*$:

**selective p -pseudocompactness $\Rightarrow p$ -pseudocompactness \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow pseudocompactness,**

and also

countable compactness \Rightarrow countable pracomactness \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow selective pseudocompactness \Rightarrow pseudocompactness.

DEFINITION ([Angoa et al., 2014])

Let X be a topological space. For $p \in \omega^*$, X is called *selectively p -pseudocompact* if, for each sequence $(U_n)_{n \in \omega}$ of nonempty open subsets of X , there is a sequence $\{x_n : n \in \omega\} \subset X$ and $x \in X$ such that $x = p\text{-}\lim_{n \in \omega} x_n$ and, for each $n \in \omega$, $x_n \in U_n$.

It follows straight from the definitions that, for each $p \in \omega^*$:

**selective p -pseudocompactness $\Rightarrow p$ -pseudocompactness \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow pseudocompactness,**

and also

**countable compactness \Rightarrow countable pracomactness \Rightarrow
 \Rightarrow selective pseudocompactness \Rightarrow pseudocompactness.**

For topological groups, we can say more:

THEOREM ([Garcia-Ferreira and Sanchis, 1997])

For a topological group G , the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① *G is pseudocompact.*
- ② *There is a $p \in \omega^*$ such that G is p -pseudocompact.*
- ③ *G is ultrapseudocompact.*

Question ([Garcia-Ferreira and Ortiz-Castillo, 2014])

Is there a pseudocompact group which is not selectively pseudocompact?

Answer: Yes! [Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita, 2015].

For topological groups, we can say more:

THEOREM ([Garcia-Ferreira and Sanchis, 1997])

For a topological group G , the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① G is pseudocompact.
- ② There is a $p \in \omega^*$ such that G is p -pseudocompact.
- ③ G is ultrapseudocompact.

Question ([Garcia-Ferreira and Ortiz-Castillo, 2014])

Is there a pseudocompact group which is not selectively pseudocompact?

Answer: Yes! [Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita, 2015].

For topological groups, we can say more:

THEOREM ([Garcia-Ferreira and Sanchis, 1997])

For a topological group G , the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① G is pseudocompact.
- ② There is a $p \in \omega^*$ such that G is p -pseudocompact.
- ③ G is ultrapseudocompact.

Question ([Garcia-Ferreira and Ortiz-Castillo, 2014])

Is there a pseudocompact group which is not selectively pseudocompact?

Answer: Yes! [Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita, 2015].

For topological groups, we can say more:

THEOREM ([Garcia-Ferreira and Sanchis, 1997])

For a topological group G , the following conditions are equivalent.

- ① G is pseudocompact.
- ② There is a $p \in \omega^*$ such that G is p -pseudocompact.
- ③ G is ultrapseudocompact.

Question ([Garcia-Ferreira and Ortiz-Castillo, 2014])

Is there a pseudocompact group which is not selectively pseudocompact?

Answer: Yes! [Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita, 2015].

Therefore, the red arrows below cannot be reversed for topological groups.



Therefore, the red arrows below cannot be reversed for topological groups.



We proved that the missing black arrow cannot be reversed either for topological groups:

THEOREM ([Tomita and Trianon-Fraga, 2022])

There exists a selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracom pact.

In the next slides, we give an overview of this construction.

We proved that the missing black arrow cannot be reversed either for topological groups:

THEOREM ([Tomita and Trianon-Fraga, 2022])

There exists a selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact.

In the next slides, we give an overview of this construction.

We followed the three steps mentioned in a previous slide.

Step 1: The Boolean group is $[c]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.

Step 2 and Step 3: Let $\{p_\xi : \xi < c\}$ be a subset of the family of free ultrafilters constructed.

We consider a partition of c in c -sized sets, $(J_\beta)_{\beta < c}$. Then, another partition of each J_β in two c -sized sets, J_β^1 and J_β^2 . The idea is to first construct a initial set \mathcal{A}_0 of homomorphisms in a way that the group will have each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as a subset for which every infinite subsequence has an accumulation point in $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$, and also $[J_\beta^1]^1$ dense. Then, we change some values to construct $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, messing up the countable pracomactness of the group, but maintaining the selective pseudocompactness.

We followed the three steps mentioned in a previous slide.

Step 1: The Boolean group is $[c]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.

Step 2 and Step 3: Let $\{p_\xi : \xi < c\}$ be a subset of the family of free ultrafilters constructed.

We consider a partition of c in c -sized sets, $(J_\beta)_{\beta < c}$. Then, another partition of each J_β in two c -sized sets, J_β^1 and J_β^2 . The idea is to first construct a initial set \mathcal{A}_0 of homomorphisms in a way that the group will have each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as a subset for which every infinite subsequence has an accumulation point in $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$, and also $[J_\beta^1]^1$ dense. Then, we change some values to construct $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, messing up the countable pracomactness of the group, but maintaining the selective pseudocompactness.

We followed the three steps mentioned in a previous slide.

Step 1: The Boolean group is $[c]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.

Step 2 and Step 3: Let $\{p_\xi : \xi < c\}$ be a subset of the family of free ultrafilters constructed.

We consider a partition of c in c -sized sets, $(J_\beta)_{\beta < c}$. Then, another partition of each J_β in two c -sized sets, J_β^1 and J_β^2 . The idea is to first construct a initial set \mathcal{A}_0 of homomorphisms in a way that the group will have each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as a subset for which every infinite subsequence has an accumulation point in $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$, and also $[J_\beta^1]^1$ dense. Then, we change some values to construct $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, messing up the countable pracomactness of the group, but maintaining the selective pseudocompactness.

We followed the three steps mentioned in a previous slide.

Step 1: The Boolean group is $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.

Step 2 and Step 3: Let $\{p_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a subset of the family of free ultrafilters constructed.

We consider a partition of \mathfrak{c} in \mathfrak{c} -sized sets, $(J_\beta)_{\beta < \mathfrak{c}}$. Then, another partition of each J_β in two \mathfrak{c} -sized sets, J_β^1 and J_β^2 . The idea is to first construct a initial set \mathcal{A}_0 of homomorphisms in a way that the group will have each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as a subset for which every infinite subsequence has an accumulation point in $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$, and also $[J_\beta^1]^1$ dense. Then, we change some values to construct $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, messing up the countable pracomactness of the group, but maintaining the selective pseudocompactness.

We followed the three steps mentioned in a previous slide.

Step 1: The Boolean group is $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.

Step 2 and Step 3: Let $\{p_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a subset of the family of free ultrafilters constructed.

We consider a partition of \mathfrak{c} in \mathfrak{c} -sized sets, $(J_\beta)_{\beta < \mathfrak{c}}$. Then, another partition of each J_β in two \mathfrak{c} -sized sets, J_β^1 and J_β^2 . The idea is to first construct a initial set \mathcal{A}_0 of homomorphisms in a way that the group will have each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as a subset for which every infinite subsequence has an accumulation point in $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$, and also $[J_\beta^1]^1$ dense. Then, we change some values to construct $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, messing up the countable pracomactness of the group, but maintaining the selective pseudocompactness.

We followed the three steps mentioned in a previous slide.

Step 1: The Boolean group is $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$ with the symmetric difference as the group operation and \emptyset as the neutral element.

Step 2 and Step 3: Let $\{p_\xi : \xi < \mathfrak{c}\}$ be a subset of the family of free ultrafilters constructed.

We consider a partition of \mathfrak{c} in \mathfrak{c} -sized sets, $(J_\beta)_{\beta < \mathfrak{c}}$. Then, another partition of each J_β in two \mathfrak{c} -sized sets, J_β^1 and J_β^2 . The idea is to first construct a initial set \mathcal{A}_0 of homomorphisms in a way that the group will have each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as a subset for which every infinite subsequence has an accumulation point in $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$, and also $[J_\beta^1]^1$ dense. Then, we change some values to construct $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$, messing up the countable pracomactness of the group, but maintaining the selective pseudocompactness.

Also, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ has to be *big enough* to allow us to use the existence Lemma, mentioned previously, to show the existence of an appropriate $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ when required.

More specifically, we start enumerating all the linearly independent sequences of each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as $\{f_\xi : \xi \in J_\beta^2\}$. The initial set of homomorphisms, \mathcal{A}_0 , is chosen so that the singleton $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^2$, is a p_ξ -limit point of the sequence $f_\xi : \omega \rightarrow [J_\beta]^{<\omega}$. Singletons $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^1$, take care of the density. The set \mathcal{A}_0 has also to be *big enough* in a sense that it contains many proper extensions of suitable homomorphisms defined in certain subgroups of $[c]^{<\omega}$. It is also enumerated properly as $\{\psi_\mu : \mu \in [\omega, c]\}$.

Also, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ has to be *big enough* to allow us to use the existence Lemma, mentioned previously, to show the existence of an appropriate $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ when required.

More specifically, we start enumerating all the linearly independent sequences of each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as $\{f_\xi : \xi \in J_\beta^2\}$. The initial set of homomorphisms, \mathcal{A}_0 , is chosen so that the singleton $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^2$, is a p_ξ -limit point of the sequence $f_\xi : \omega \rightarrow [J_\beta]^{<\omega}$. Singletons $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^1$, take care of the density. The set \mathcal{A}_0 has also to be *big enough* in a sense that it contains many proper extensions of suitable homomorphisms defined in certain subgroups of $[c]^{<\omega}$. It is also enumerated properly as $\{\psi_\mu : \mu \in [\omega, c]\}$.

Also, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ has to be *big enough* to allow us to use the existence Lemma, mentioned previously, to show the existence of an appropriate $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ when required.

More specifically, we start enumerating all the linearly independent sequences of each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as $\{f_\xi : \xi \in J_\beta^2\}$. The initial set of homomorphisms, \mathcal{A}_0 , is chosen so that the singleton $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^2$, is a p_ξ -limit point of the sequence $f_\xi : \omega \rightarrow [J_\beta]^{<\omega}$. Singletons $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^1$, take care of the density. The set \mathcal{A}_0 has also to be *big enough* in a sense that it contains many proper extensions of suitable homomorphisms defined in certain subgroups of $[c]^{<\omega}$. It is also enumerated properly as $\{\psi_\mu : \mu \in [\omega, c]\}$.

Also, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ has to be *big enough* to allow us to use the existence Lemma, mentioned previously, to show the existence of an appropriate $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ when required.

More specifically, we start enumerating all the linearly independent sequences of each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as $\{f_\xi : \xi \in J_\beta^2\}$. The initial set of homomorphisms, \mathcal{A}_0 , is chosen so that the singleton $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^2$, is a p_ξ -limit point of the sequence $f_\xi : \omega \rightarrow [J_\beta]^{<\omega}$. Singletons $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^1$, take care of the density. The set \mathcal{A}_0 has also to be *big enough* in a sense that it contains many proper extensions of suitable homomorphisms defined in certain subgroups of $[c]^{<\omega}$. It is also enumerated properly as $\{\psi_\mu : \mu \in [\omega, c]\}$.

Also, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ has to be *big enough* to allow us to use the existence Lemma, mentioned previously, to show the existence of an appropriate $\phi \in \overline{\mathcal{A}}$ when required.

More specifically, we start enumerating all the linearly independent sequences of each $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ as $\{f_\xi : \xi \in J_\beta^2\}$. The initial set of homomorphisms, \mathcal{A}_0 , is chosen so that the singleton $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^2$, is a p_ξ -limit point of the sequence $f_\xi : \omega \rightarrow [J_\beta]^{<\omega}$. Singletons $\{\xi\}$, for $\xi \in J_\beta^1$, take care of the density. The set \mathcal{A}_0 has also to be *big enough* in a sense that it contains many proper extensions of suitable homomorphisms defined in certain subgroups of $[\mathfrak{c}]^{<\omega}$. It is also enumerated properly as $\{\psi_\mu : \mu \in [\omega, \mathfrak{c}]\}$.

The changes we make to the values of each homomorphism ψ_μ in the subgroup $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ depend on μ and also on β . Finally, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ will be the set of modified homomorphisms and we use the existence Lemma to prove that the group is no longer countably pracomact, although still selectively pseudocompact. The details of this part are mainly technical.

The changes we make to the values of each homomorphism ψ_μ in the subgroup $[J_\beta]^{<\omega}$ depend on μ and also on β . Finally, $\overline{\mathcal{A}}$ will be the set of modified homomorphisms and we use the existence Lemma to prove that the group is no longer countably pracomact, although still selectively pseudocompact. The details of this part are mainly technical.

Assuming the existence of a single selective ultrafilter p , we also proved a stronger result:

THEOREM ([Tomita and Trianon-Fraga, 2022])

There exists a selectively p -pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact.

Corollary

There exists a group which has all powers selectively pseudocompact and is not countably pracomact.

Assuming the existence of a single selective ultrafilter p , we also proved a stronger result:

THEOREM ([Tomita and Trianon-Fraga, 2022])

There exists a selectively p -pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact.

Corollary

There exists a group which has all powers selectively pseudocompact and is not countably pracomact.

Assuming the existence of a single selective ultrafilter p , we also proved a stronger result:

THEOREM ([Tomita and Trianon-Fraga, 2022])

There exists a selectively p -pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact.

Corollary

There exists a group which has all powers selectively pseudocompact and is not countably pracomact.

Open Questions

Finally, we present some questions we are interested in. Since the example we constructed is Boolean and not separable, we ask:

Question

Is there a separable selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact?

Question

Is there a non-torsion selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact?

Also, [Hrušák et al., 2021] asks:

Question

Is there, in ZFC, a non-torsion countably compact topological group without non-trivial convergent sequences?

Open Questions

Finally, we present some questions we are interested in. Since the example we constructed is Boolean and not separable, we ask:

Question

Is there a separable selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact?

Question

Is there a non-torsion selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact?

Also, [Hrušák et al., 2021] asks:

Question

Is there, in ZFC, a non-torsion countably compact topological group without non-trivial convergent sequences?

Open Questions

Finally, we present some questions we are interested in. Since the example we constructed is Boolean and not separable, we ask:

Question

Is there a separable selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact?

Question

Is there a non-torsion selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracomact?

Also, [Hrušák et al., 2021] asks:

Question

Is there, in ZFC, a non-torsion countably compact topological group without non-trivial convergent sequences?

Open Questions

Finally, we present some questions we are interested in. Since the example we constructed is Boolean and not separable, we ask:

Question

Is there a separable selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracompact?

Question

Is there a non-torsion selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracompact?

Also, [Hrušák et al., 2021] asks:

Question

Is there, in ZFC, a non-torsion countably compact topological group without non-trivial convergent sequences?

Open Questions

Finally, we present some questions we are interested in. Since the example we constructed is Boolean and not separable, we ask:

Question

Is there a separable selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracompact?

Question

Is there a non-torsion selectively pseudocompact group which is not countably pracompact?

Also, [Hrušák et al., 2021] asks:

Question

Is there, in ZFC, a non-torsion countably compact topological group without non-trivial convergent sequences?

The following questions also remain open.

Question

Is it true in ZFC that selective pseudocompactness is non-productive in the class of topological groups?

Using tools outside ZFC, there are already answers to this question. For instance, Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita proved that if p and q are non-equivalent (according to the Rudin-Keisler ordering in ω^*) selective ultrafilters on ω , then there are a p -compact group and a q -compact group whose product is not selectively pseudocompact [Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita, 2020].

The following questions also remain open.

Question

Is it true in ZFC that selective pseudocompactness is non-productive in the class of topological groups?

Using tools outside ZFC, there are already answers to this question. For instance, Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita proved that if p and q are non-equivalent (according to the Rudin-Keisler ordering in ω^*) selective ultrafilters on ω , then there are a p -compact group and a q -compact group whose product is not selectively pseudocompact [Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita, 2020].

The following questions also remain open.

Question

Is it true in ZFC that selective pseudocompactness is non-productive in the class of topological groups?

Using tools outside ZFC, there are already answers to this question. For instance, Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita proved that if p and q are non-equivalent (according to the Rudin-Keisler ordering in ω^*) selective ultrafilters on ω , then there are a p -compact group and a q -compact group whose product is not selectively pseudocompact [Garcia-Ferreira and Tomita, 2020].

Question

Is it true in ZFC that countable pracomactness is non-productive in the class of topological groups?

Under MA, a Boolean countably compact topological group whose square is not countably pracomact was constructed in [Bardyla et al., 2020].

Question

Is it true in ZFC that countable pracomactness is non-productive in the class of topological groups?

Under MA, a Boolean countably compact topological group whose square is not countably pracomact was constructed in [Bardyla et al., 2020].

Thank you for your attention.

-  Angoa, J., Ortiz-Castillo, Y. F., and Tamariz-Mascarua, A. (2014).
Ultrafilters and properties related to compactness.
Topol. Proc., 43:183–200.
-  Bardyla, S., Ravsky, A., and Zdomskyy, L. (2020).
A countably compact topological group with the non-countably pracomact square.
Topology and its Applications, 279:107251.
-  Bellini, M. K., Rodrigues, V. O., and Tomita, A. H. (2021).
Forcing a classification of non-torsion Abelian groups of size at most 2^c with non-trivial convergent sequences.
Topol. Appl., 296.



Bernstein, A. (1970).

A new kind of compactness for topological spaces.

Fund. Math., 66:185–193.



Comfort, W. W. and Ross, K. A. (1966).

Pseudocompactness and uniform continuity in topological groups.

Pac. J. Math., 16:483–496.



Garcia-Ferreira, S. and Ortiz-Castillo, Y. F. (2014).

Strong pseudocompact properties.

Comment. Math. Univ. Carol., 55:101–109.



Garcia-Ferreira, S. and Sanchis, M. (1997).

On C -compact subsets.

Houst. J. Math., 23:65–86.

-  Garcia-Ferreira, S. and Tomita, A. H. (2015).
A pseudocompact group which is not strongly pseudocompact.
Topol. Appl., 192:138–144.
-  Garcia-Ferreira, S. and Tomita, A. H. (2020).
Selectively pseudocompact groups and p -compactness.
Topol. Appl., 285.
-  Garcia-Ferreira, S., Tomita, A. H., and Watson, S. (2005).
Countably compact groups from a selective ultrafilter.
Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 133(3):937–943.
-  Ginsburg, J. and Saks, V. (1975).
Some applications of ultrafilters in topology.
Pac. J. Math., 57:403–418.



Hajnal, A. and Juhász, I. (1976).

A separable normal topological group need not be Lindelöf.
General Topology and its Applications, 6(2):199–205.



Hrušák, M., van Mill, J., Ramos-García, U. A., and Shelah, S. (2021).

Countably compact groups without non-trivial convergent sequences.

Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 374:1277–1296.



Koszmider, P. B., Tomita, A. H., and Watson, S. (2000).

Forcing countably compact group topologies on a larger free Abelian group.

Topology Proc., 25:563–574.

-  Szeptycki, P. J. and Tomita, A. H. (2009).
HFD groups in the Solovay model.
Topology Appl., 156:1807–1810.
-  Terasaka, H. (1952).
On cartesian product of compact spaces.
Osaka Math. J., 4:11–15.
-  Tomita, A. H. and Trianon-Fraga, J. (2022).
Some pseudocompact-like properties in certain topological groups.
Topology Appl., 314:108111.
-  van Douwen, E. K. (1980).
The product of two countably compact topological groups.
Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 262(2):417–427.

The opinions, hypotheses and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are the responsibility of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the view of FAPESP.